

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF PENNSYLVANIA

THOMAS CARROLL, et al. : CIVIL ACTION  
: :  
: :  
v. : :  
: :  
: :  
WILLIAM STETTLER, III, : :  
et al. : NO. 10-2262

ORDER

AND NOW, this 10th day of August, 2012, upon  
consideration of the plaintiffs' unopposed Motion for Default  
Judgment (Docket No. 496), IT IS HEREBY ORDERED, for the reasons  
stated in a memorandum of law bearing today's date, that the  
motion is GRANTED IN PART and DENIED IN PART as follows:

1. The motion is DENIED as to ADO Investment Corp.  
because the Clerk of Court has not entered default against it.  
Furthermore, pursuant to Rule 4(m), the Court will dismiss the  
action against ADO without prejudice unless the plaintiffs  
demonstrate proof of service as to this defendant on or before  
September 1, 2012.

2. The motion is DENIED WITHOUT PREJUDICE as to  
Fausto V. Santana, as to whom the matter remains in civil  
suspense.

3. The motion is DENIED as to defendant Stephen  
Cuspilich, who responded to the complaint by sending the court a  
letter within 21 days of being served. Default as to Mr.

Cuspilich, which was entered on October 12, 2010, is hereby VACATED.

4. The motion is GRANTED as to all other Defaulted Defendants.

The Court does not now decide the amount of damages for which to enter judgment by default. The plaintiffs shall submit admissible evidence as to the amount of recoverable damages on or before September 10, 2012. The Court notes that it would be interested in seeing exactly how the amounts are calculated.

BY THE COURT:

/s/ Mary A. McLaughlin  
Mary A. McLaughlin, J.